UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,332	09/08/2006 Graeme Semple		22578-004US1 059.US2.PCT	9629
26204 FISH & RICHA	7590 12/05/200 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022		CHU, YONG LIANG		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/560,3	332	SEMPLE ET AL.		
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		YONG C		1626		
<i>The</i> Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commun ly	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTE WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period for Failure to repl Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F IR IS LONGER, FROM THE M time may be available under the provisions IONTHS from the mailing date of this compore reply is specified above, the maximum stay within the set or extended period for reply sived by the Office later than three months. term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and of will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIC vent, however, may a reply be t will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from phication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ Respo 2a)⊠ This a 3)⊡ Since	onsive to communication(s) file action is FINAL . this application is in condition in accordance with the practi	2b)☐ This action is for allowance excep	– non-final. t for formal matters, pı		e merits is	
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☑ Claim	(s) <u>1,152-170 and 172-187</u> is/ the above claim(s) <u>165 and 1</u> (s) is/are allowed. (s) <u>1,152-164,166-170 and 17</u> (s) <u>1,152-164, 166-170, and 17</u> (s) are subject to restrice	<u>79-187</u> is/are withdra <u>2-178</u> is/are rejected <u>172-178</u> is/are object	awn from consideration I. red to.	n.		
Application Pa	pers					
10)⊠ The dr Applica Replac	pecification is objected to by the awing(s) filed on <u>09 December</u> ant may not request that any objectement drawing sheet(s) including ath or declaration is objected to	$\frac{(r 2005)}{(r 2005)}$ is/are: a) $\boxed{\square}$ a ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Seired if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date <u>08/28/2008</u> .	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 152-170, and 172-187 are pending in this application. Claims 165 and 179-187 are non-elected subject matter.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement, filed 08/28/2008 has been considered. Please refer to Applicant's copy of the PTO-1449 submitted herewith.

Response to Amendment

The Amendment by Applicants' representative Dr. Susanne H. Goodson dated on 08/28/2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Argument over the rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C.§112, 1st paragraph

Applicants' arguments over the rejection of claims 1, 152-164, 166-170 and 172-176 for the scope of compounds failing to meet the enablement requirement *under 35 U.S.C.§112, 1st paragraph* have been considered, and are found persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

Applicants' arguments over the rejection of the **solvates** and **hydrates** in claims 1, 152-164, 166-170 and 172-178 for failing to meet the enablement requirement *under* 35 *U.S.C.§112*, 1st paragraph have been considered, but found not persuasive. As stated in the previous Office action, a hydrate or a solvate is a form of crystal wherein a

Page 3

specific number of water or organic solvent molecule binds to an organic compound to form a crystal 3-D lattice structure. Not all organic compounds can form hydrates or solvates, and formation of hydrate or solvate is unpredictable. Even though there is a general recrystallization procedure, the procedure can not be applied to any organic compounds for making solvate or hydrate. Even for the organic compounds can form solvates or hydrates, the process for making such solvates or hydrates are very unpredictable even with a reference in hand. Recrystallization process varies on the specific compounds; it requires a try under various recrystallization conditions for each individual solvates or hydrates involving various factors such as solvents, temperature, crystal seed, speed of heating the solvents and solvent concentration etc. It is well known a polymorphism can be patentably distinct from a regular non-crystal compound even they are the same substance. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Because the instant specification fails to describe the specific very unpredictable solvates or hydrates of the instantly claimed compounds, the instant specification does not describe <u>stoichiometry</u> of the individual solvate or hydrate, a specific method for making each individual solvate or hydrate, and any x-ray diffraction data to prove the identity of the claimed solvates or hydrates. Therefore, the rejection for failing to comply with the written description requirement is maintained.

Argument over ODP rejection

Applicants' arguments have been considered, but found not persuasive. The specification does not demonstrate the criticality as an alkoxy chain distinct from alkyl

chain toward the claimed utility. Furthermore, the `902 application teaches many possible chains in \mathbb{R}^3 such as alkyl substituted with alkoxy, which is obvious to one skilled in the art to predict an interchange alkyl with alkoxy without changing the utility of the claimed compounds, and therefore the instantly claimed compounds having alkoxy is obvious over the `902 compound having alkyl group. In addition, as being recognized by Applicants, if \mathbf{X} as -O-, and -S- are enabled, then \mathbf{X} as -S(O)- and $-S(O)_2$ - are also considered enabled without further support from working examples. The alkoxy and alkyl is obvious variation in view the teachings of the `902 application as whole. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Claim objection

The <u>provisionally</u> objected claims still contain non-elected subject matter. The current elected subject matter is rejected under 112(i) and ODP. Extended search and examination is not required, because of the pending rejections and lacking unity of invention, see MPEP§803.02. Therefore, the objection is maintained.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1626

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Chu whose telephone number is 571-272-5759. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph M[©]Kane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Status Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,332

Art Unit: 1626

/Yong Chu/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626

/Kamal Saeed/ Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626 Page 6